## COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY EXECUTIVE BRANCH ETHICS COMMISSION CASE 07-103

### IN RE: MARC D. WILLIAMS ALLEGED VIOLATION OF KRS CHAPTER 11A

# INITIATING ORDER Initiation of Administrative Proceeding And Formal Complaint

The Executive Branch Ethics Commission (the "Commission"), upon its own motion, initiated a preliminary investigation of Marc D. Williams (the "Respondent"), pursuant to KRS 11A.080(1), on October 31, 2006.

At all relevant times the Respondent was a "public servant" as defined in KRS 11A.010(9), and thus subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission.

The Commission initiated the preliminary investigation to determine whether there was probable cause to believe the Respondent violated provisions of KRS Chapter 11A (also referred to herein as the "Ethics Code").

The Commission notified the Respondent of the preliminary investigation by letter dated November 1, 20065. During the course of the investigation, the Commission found probable cause to believe that violations of KRS Chapter 11A had occurred and voted on February 23, 2007, to initiate an administrative proceeding, pursuant to KRS 11A.080(4)(b) and KRS Chapter 13B, to determine whether the Respondent violated the Ethics Code as set forth in the Allegations of Violation, attached hereto and incorporated fully herein as Appendix A to this Initiating Order.

#### IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

1. The Respondent shall file his answer to this Initiating Order within twenty (20) days from the date of service, verifying the truth and accuracy of any answer submitted.

- 2. The Respondent shall appear at a hearing to be scheduled by subsequent order and be prepared to defend against the Commission's allegations that he committed the Ethics Code violation set forth in the Allegations of Violation, attached hereto and incorporated fully herein as Appendix A to this Initiating Order.
- 3. All material submitted to the Commission shall be addressed to the Executive Branch Ethics Commission, The Vest-Lindsey House, 401 Wapping Street, Frankfort, Kentucky 40601. The Commission is represented by John R. Steffen, General Counsel, who may be contacted through the Commission's office at (502) 564-7954.
- 4. The Respondent has the right to legal counsel during this proceeding. If the Respondent retains legal counsel, that person shall file an appearance with the Commission, and thereafter all correspondence from the Commission to the Respondent shall be mailed or delivered to the Respondent's attorney.
- 5. The Respondent has the right to examine upon request, at least five (5) days prior to the hearing, a list of witnesses the Commission expects to call at the hearing, any evidence which will be used at the hearing and any exculpatory information in the Commission's possession.
- 6. The Respondent has the right to subpoena witnesses on his own behalf. If the Respondent subpoenas witnesses, he shall pay for all costs associated with the subpoenas' issuance, including any applicable witness fees.
- 7. If the Respondent fails to attend or participate as required at any stage of the administrative hearing process without good cause shown, he may be held in default pursuant to KRS 13B.050(3)(h).

- 8. The Respondent has a right to appeal any final Commission order to the Franklin Circuit Court within thirty (30) days of service.
- 9. This proceeding is subject to KRS Chapter 11A, the Commission's regulations, the provisions of KRS Chapter 13B, and any Order issued by the Commission or its hearing officer issued during this administrative proceeding.

So ordered this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of February, 2007.

**EXECUTIVE BRANCH ETHICS COMMISSION:** 

John A. Webb, Chair

Cynthia C. Stone Vide Chair

Thomas V. Handy

J. Quentin Wester

E. Patrick Moores

## APPENDIX A CASE NO. 07-103 INITIATING ORDER

A reak

#### ALLEGATION OF VIOLATION

The Respondent, Marc D. Williams ("Williams"), was an employee of the Commonwealth of Kentucky, serving as Commissioner of the Department of Highways in the Transportation Cabinet. The Respondent was subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission at all relevant times. KRS 11A.010(9)(h).

During the course of its preliminary investigation, the Commission found probable cause to believe that Williams committed the following violation:

#### **COUNT I**

That Williams, on or about March 16, 2005, through March 23, 2005, during the course of his employment with the Transportation Cabinet, used or attempted to use his influence and/or official position in directing a State Highway Engineer to use or attempt to use his influence and/or official position to influence Consultant Selection Committee members during an open selection process relating to Item No. 6-1052.00, a Transportation Cabinet project located in Harrison County, for the benefit of an outside consulting firm. Such actions contradict the statutes, regulations, and policies established for the purpose of removing favoritism and outside influence from the selection process and are in derogation of the state and public interest at large.

These facts constitute a violation of KRS 11A.020(1)(b) and (d):

- (1) No public servant, by himself or through others, shall knowingly:
  - (b) Use or attempt to use any means to influence a public agency in derogation of the state at large;
  - (d) Use or attempt to use his official position to secure or create privileges, exemptions, advantages, or treatment for himself or others in derogation of the public interest at large.

(End of document)